

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignim 22313-1450
www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/666,189		09/21/2000	Leonardus L.H. van der Loo	PM 27369 8274US/CON/WO/DI	1664	
909	7590	06/05/2003		02710070014140701		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP P.O. BOX 10500				EXAMINER		
MCLEAN, VA 22102			•	SINGH, ARTI R		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1771	10	
				DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	A:
			09/666,189		VAN DER LOO, L	FONARDUS LI
	Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner		Art Unit	
			Ms. Arti Singh	1	1771	
5	The MAILING DATE of this	communication ap				dress
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY P MAILING DATE OF THIS Common of time may be available under the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date period for reply specified above is less period for reply is specified above, the reto reply within the set or extended peeply received by the Office later than the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	OMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of this communication. Than thirty (30) days, a rep maximum statutory period riod for reply will, by statute ree months after the mailing	136(a). In no event, he ly within the statutory is will apply and will explored to application.	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	<i>y.</i> ommunication.
1) 🖂	Poppopolivo to communica	Alam (a) El a di a a 07				
'	Responsive to communica	·				
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	•	nis action is non		•	
3)□ Disposition	Since this application is in closed in accordance with on of Claims	condition for allowathe practice under	ance except for Ex parte Quayl	formal matters, pree, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pendir	g in the application	۱.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-</u>	19 is/are withdrawr	n from considera	ation.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allow	ed.				•
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objec	ted to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/o	r election requir	ement.		
Application	on Papers		- 4			
9)⊠ T	he specification is objected	to by the Examine	r.			
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)☐ object	cted to by the Exam	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that	at any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correct			ved b)⊡ disapprov		r.
	If approved, corrected drawing			ction.		
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Pri rity ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	120				
13)🛛 A	Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).	
	All b) Some * c) No				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
. 1	I.☐ Certified copies of the	priority documents	have been rec	eived.		
2	2. Certified copies of the	priority documents	have been rec	eived in Application	n No. <i>08/</i> 99 <i>5.754</i>	
	B.☐ Copies of the certified	copies of the priori	ity documents h eau (PCT Rule	ave been received	I in this National S	
	knowledgment is made of a					application).
a) ∣ 15)∐ Ac	☐ The translation of the for knowledgment is made of a	eign language prov	visional applicat	ion has been recei	ived.	rri,
Attachment(s						
2) 🔲 Notice (of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC	Review (PTO-948) -1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-	 152)
S. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.		Office Acti	ion Summary		Part of Paper No. 10	

Application/Control Number: 09/666,189

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Claims 1-7 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 3. The uses of Trademarks/Tradenames have been noted throughout this application. The specific name/mark should be in ALL CAPS, followed by either a trademark or copyright symbol and be accompanied by the generic terminology. All three criteria must be met. Although the use of Trademarks/Tradenames is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks/names should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as a trademark or tradename. To describe physical or other properties of material by mere use of trademark is objectionable since it has tendency to make trademark descriptive of product rather than leaving trademark to serve its traditional purpose, which is to identify product's source of origin.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: At the beginning of the Specification (page 1) the first heading should be entitled "Cross Reference To Related Applications", wherein the continuity data needs to be stated. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Please amend the title as only the article remain for prosecution per election by Applicant in paper no. 9.
- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it can only be one paragraph long. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/666,189 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

7. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

- 8. Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 (c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependant claim cannot depend from another multiple dependant claim. See MPEP ξ 608.01 (n).
- 9. Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims contain the phrase "characterized in that". This wording should be changed to "wherein" to conform to U.S. practice. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,189 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

Double Patenting

10. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

11. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,183,834. This is a double patenting rejection.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,189

Art Unit: 1771

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 14. under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Li et al. (USPN 4,953,234). Li et al. teach an impact resistant composite and helmet made thereof. The helmet comprises an impact resistant composite shell. The composite shell comprises a plurality of prepreg packets. Each prepreg packet comprises at least about 2 and preferably 5 to 20 prepreg layers. There are from 2 to 50 and preferably 5 to 20 prepreg packets. Each prepreg layer comprises a plurality of unidirectional coplanar fibers embedded in a polymeric matrix. The fibers of adjacent layers in the prepreg packets are at an angle of from 45 to 90 degrees, preferably 60 degrees. to 90 degrees more preferably 80 degrees to 90 degrees and most preferably about 90 degrees from each other. The angle of the fiber in alternate layers is preferably, sustantially the same (abstract). Depending on the application for use of the prepreg layer in the multilayer composite of the present invention different fibers and different matrices can be used. Useful fibers include polyolefin based polymers and particularly ultra high molecular weight polyolefin fibers including polyethylene and polypropylene fibers. Other useful high strength fibers include aramid fibers, polyvinyl alcohol fibers and other high strength fibers. Also useful in the present invention are a variety of conventional fibers which would result in improvement in resistance to impact properties when used in accordance with the present invention. Such fibers include but are not limited to asbestos, carbon, graphite, boron, cellulose, alumina, and metal fibers (column 4, lines 47-60).

Art Unit: 1771

Li et al. disclose what is set forth above, however fail to disclose or do not explicitly teach the claimed limitations of density, tensile modulus or specific energy absorbtion, it is reasonable to presume that the said featured properties are inherent if not obviousto Li et al;s invention. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials i.e. an inpact resistant composite made of a plurality of layers utilizing the same resins and fibers at the same weight, which would result in having these properties. The burden is shifted to Applicant to prove otherwise. In re Fitzgerald 205 USPQ 495. Alternatively, the presently claimed properties of ballistic resistant composite having a tensile modulus, SEA or the required density would obviously have been present, once the Li et al. product was provided. See In re Best, 195 USPQ 433.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 15. examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 703-305-0291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-873-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

June 1, 2003

Ms. Arti Singh Patent Examiner Art Unit 1771